

## A COEFFICIENT INEQUALITY FOR A SUB-CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

B.S. MEHROK  
# 643 E, B.R.S. Nagar,  
Ludhiana (Punjab), India

DEEPAK GUPTA  
Department of Mathematics  
M.M.University , Mullana-Ambala (Haryana), India  
E-Mail : guptadeepak@yahoo.co.in

GAGANDEEP SINGH  
Department of Mathematics  
Rayat Polytechnic College , Railmajra (Punjab), India  
E-Mail : kamboj.gagandeep@ yahoo.in

**Abstract .** In this paper a coefficient inequality for a subclass of analytic functions is obtained. Results proved by various authors will follow as special cases from our theorem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification :** 30C45.

**Keywords:** Subordination, Convolution, Univalent functions, Star like functions, Convex functions.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $U$  be the class of bounded functions

$$w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are regular in  $E$  and satisfying the conditions  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ .

It is well known [6] that  $|c_1| \leq 1$ ,  $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$ .

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \text{ regular in } E. \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $S^*(\beta)$  be the class of starlike functions of order  $\beta$  of the form (1.2) and with the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \text{ for some } 0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in E. \quad (1.3)$$

$K(\beta)$  is the class of convex functions of order  $\beta$  of the form (1.2) with the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \beta, 0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in E. \quad (1.4)$$

Obviously  $S^*(0) \equiv S$  and  $K(0) \equiv K$ .

The classes  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $K(\beta)$  were introduced by Robertson[7].

A function  $f(z) \in A$  is called  $\alpha$ -convex function of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ) if it satisfies the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \frac{f(z).f'(z)}{z} \neq 0, \quad z \in E. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \operatorname{Re} J(\alpha; f) > \beta \text{ where} \\ & J(\alpha; f) = (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right), z \in E, \alpha \text{ any real number.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The class of  $\alpha$ -convex functions of order  $\beta$  is denoted by  $M(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Clearly  $M(\alpha, 0) \equiv M(\alpha)$ , the class introduced by Mocanu[5].

It is known [4] that all  $\alpha$ -convex functions are  $\alpha$ -starlike in  $E$ .

A function  $f(z)$  is said to be subordinate to function  $g(z)$ , if there exists a function  $w(z) \in U$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  and we write as  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ .

Let  $M(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$  be the class of functions  $f(z) \in A$  and with the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \frac{f(z).f'(z)}{z} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \left( \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right)^\delta, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By  $A_p$ , we denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (p \text{ is a positive integer}) \text{ regular in } E. \quad (1.6)$$

If  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$  and  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k}$  are in  $A_p$ , then convolution or Hadamard product of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  is denoted by  $f * g$  and defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^{p+k}.$$

Let  $T_{n,p}(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$  be the class of functions  $f(z) \in A_p$  and satisfying the conditions

$$\frac{f(z).f'(z)}{z^{2p-1}} \neq 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$J_{n,p}(\alpha; f) = (1-\alpha) \frac{z(D_{n-1}^p f(z))'}{D_{n-1}^p f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z(D_n^p f(z))'}{D_n^p f(z)} \prec p \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^\delta, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $n$  is any integer greater than  $-p$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,

$$D_{n-1}^p f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z).$$

\* stands for convolution of functions.

By  $S^*(A, B; \delta)$ , we denote the class of functions  $f(z) \in A$  regular in  $E$  with the condition

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^\delta, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1. \quad (1.8)$$

Obviously  $S^*(A, B; 1) \equiv S^*(A, B)$ , which is a subclass of starlike functions studied by Goel and Mehrok [1].

Let  $K(A, B; \delta)$  be the class of functions  $f(z) \in A$  and satisfying the condition

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^\delta, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1 \quad (1.9)$$

Clearly  $K(A, B; 1) \equiv K(A, B)$ , which is a subclass of Convex functions studied by Goel and Mehrok [1].

We have the following observations:

- (i)  $T_{0,1}(\alpha; A, B; \delta) \equiv M(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$ .
- (ii)  $T_{0,1}(\alpha; A, B; 1) \equiv M(\alpha; A, B)$ .
- (iii)  $T_{0,1}(0; A, B; \delta) \equiv S^*(A, B; \delta)$ .
- (iv)  $T_{0,1}(0; 1, -1; 1) \equiv S^*$ .
- (v)  $T_{0,1}(0; 1 - 2\beta, -1; 1) \equiv S^*(\beta)$ .
- (vi)  $T_{0,1}(1; A, B; \delta) \equiv K(A, B; \delta)$ .
- (vii)  $T_{0,1}(1; 1, -1; 1) \equiv K$ .

In this paper, we obtain sharp bounds of  $\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right|$  for the class  $T_{n,p}(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$ .

Results due to Keogh and Merkes [3], Szyal [8] and Goel and Mehrok [2] follows as special cases from our theorem.

**2. Main Result**

**Theorem 2.1** If  $f \in T_{n,p}(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$ , then

(i) for  $\mu$  complex ,

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}; |\lambda - \mu| \leq \gamma \\ \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} |\lambda - \mu|; |\lambda - \mu| \geq \gamma \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) for  $\mu$  real ,

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} (\lambda - \mu); \mu \leq \lambda - \gamma \\ \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}; \lambda - \gamma \leq \mu \leq \lambda + \gamma \\ \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} (\mu - \lambda); \mu \geq \lambda + \gamma \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{p\delta(A-B)\left[(n+p)^2 + \alpha(2n+2p+1)\right] + (n+p+\alpha)^2 \left[\frac{\delta(A-B)}{2} - \frac{(A+B)}{2}\right]}{p\delta(A-B)(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{and } \gamma = \frac{(n+p+\alpha)^2}{p\delta(A-B)(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}. \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof.** As  $f \in T_{n,p}(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$ , therefore

$$J_{n,p}(\alpha; f) = (1-\alpha) \frac{z(D_{n-1}^p f(z))'}{D_{n-1}^p f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z(D_n^p f(z))'}{D_n^p f(z)} \prec p \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^\delta.$$

By definition of subordination,

$$(1-\alpha) \frac{z(D_{n-1}^p f(z))'}{D_{n-1}^p f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z(D_n^p f(z))'}{D_n^p f(z)} = p \left( \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} \right)^\delta, \text{ where } w(z) \in U. \quad (2.5)$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$\frac{z(D_{n-1}^p f(z))'}{D_{n-1}^p f(z)} = p + (n+p)a_{p+1}z + (n+p)\left[(n+p+1)a_{p+2} - (n+p)a_{p+1}^2\right]z^2 + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

Replacing n by n+1 in (2.6) ,we have

$$\frac{z(D_n^p f(z))'}{D_n^p f(z)} = p + (n+p+1)a_{p+1}z + (n+p+1)\left[(n+p+2)a_{p+2} - (n+p+1)a_{p+1}^2\right]z^2 + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2,6) and (2.7) , (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & p + (n+p+\alpha)a_{p+1}z + \left\{ (n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)a_{p+2} - [(n+p)^2 + \alpha(2n+2p+1)]a_{p+1}^2 \right\} z^2 + \dots \\ & = p + p\delta(A-B)c_1z + \left[ p\delta(A-B)(c_2 - Bc_1^2) + \frac{p\delta(\delta-1)}{2}(A-B)^2c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

On equating coefficients in (2.8) , we get

$$a_{p+1} = \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+\alpha)}c_1 \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$a_{p+2} = \frac{p\delta(A-B)(c_2 - Bc_1^2) + \frac{p\delta(\delta-1)}{2}(A-B)^2c_1^2 + [(n+p)^2 + \alpha(2n+2p+1)]\frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2c_1^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2}}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)} \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) ,we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 &= \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}c_2 \\ &+ \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} \left\{ \frac{p\delta(A-B)[(n+p)^2 + \alpha(2n+2p+1)] + (n+p+\alpha)^2 \left[ \frac{\delta(A-B)}{2} - \frac{(A+B)}{2} \right]}{p\delta(A-B)(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)} - \mu \right\} c_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}|c_2| + \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2}|\lambda - \mu||c_1^2|. \quad (2.11)$$

By using  $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$ , (2.11) becomes

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)} + \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} [|\lambda - \mu| - \gamma] |c_1|^2. \quad (2.12)$$

If  $|\lambda - \mu| \leq \gamma$ , then from (2.12)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}.$$

If  $|\lambda - \mu| \geq \gamma$ , then again from (2.12)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} |\lambda - \mu|.$$

Now we discuss the case when  $\mu$  is real.

**Case I.** for  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , from (2.12)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)} + \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} [(\lambda - \gamma) - \mu] |c_1|^2. \quad (2.13)$$

If  $\mu \leq \lambda - \gamma$ , then (2.13) becomes

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} (\lambda - \mu).$$

If  $\lambda - \gamma \leq \mu \leq \lambda$ , from (2.13)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}.$$

**Case II.** for  $\mu \geq \lambda$ , from (2.12)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)} + \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} [\mu - (\lambda + \gamma)] |c_1|^2. \quad (2.14)$$

If  $\mu \leq \lambda + \gamma$ , then from (2.14)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p\delta(A-B)}{(n+p+1)(n+p+2\alpha)}.$$

If  $\mu \geq \lambda + \gamma$ , from (2.14)

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{p^2\delta^2(A-B)^2}{(n+p+\alpha)^2} (\mu - \lambda).$$

On putting  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  in the above theorem, we have the following:

**Cor 2.1** If  $f \in S^*(A, B; \delta)$ , then

(i) for  $\mu$  complex ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta(A-B)}{2}; |\lambda_1 - \mu| \leq \gamma_1 \\ \delta^2(A-B)^2 |\lambda_1 - \mu|; |\lambda_1 - \mu| \geq \gamma_1 \end{cases}$$

(ii) for  $\mu$  real ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \delta^2(A-B)^2(\lambda_1 - \mu), \mu \leq \lambda_1 - \gamma_1 \\ \frac{\delta(A-B)}{2}; \lambda_1 - \gamma_1 \leq \mu \leq \lambda_1 + \gamma_1 \\ \delta^2(A-B)^2(\mu - \lambda_1), \mu \geq \lambda_1 + \gamma_1 \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \frac{(A-B)(3\delta-1)-2B}{4\delta(A-B)}$  and  $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2\delta(A-B)}$ .

If we put  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  in theorem (2.1), we get the following result:

**Cor 2.2** If  $f \in K(A, B; \delta)$ , then

(i) for  $\mu$  complex ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta(A-B)}{6}; |\lambda_2 - \mu| \leq \gamma_2 \\ \frac{\delta^2(A-B)^2}{4} |\lambda_2 - \mu|; |\lambda_2 - \mu| \geq \gamma_2 \end{cases}$$

(ii) for  $\mu$  real ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta^2(A-B)^2}{4}(\lambda_2 - \mu), \mu \leq \lambda_2 - \gamma_2 \\ \frac{\delta(A-B)}{6}; \lambda_2 - \gamma_2 \leq \mu \leq \lambda_2 + \gamma_2 \\ \frac{\delta^2(A-B)^2}{4}(\mu - \lambda_2), \mu \geq \lambda_2 + \gamma_2 \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda_2 = \frac{(A-B)(3\delta-1)-2B}{3\delta(A-B)}$  and  $\gamma_2 = \frac{2}{3\delta(A-B)}$ .

By putting  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ ,  $\delta = 1$  in theorem (2.1), we have the following result due to Keogh and Merkes [3].

**Cor 2.3** If  $f \in S^*$ , then

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \max\{1, |4\mu - 3|\}.$$

For  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ ,  $\delta = 1$ , the following result is obvious:

**Cor 2.4** If  $f \in K$ , then

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \max\left\{ \frac{1}{3}, |1 - \mu| \right\}, \text{ which is also a result due to Keogh and Merkes [3].}$$

If we take  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $A = 1 - 2\beta$ ,  $B = -1$ ,  $\delta = 1$  in the theorem (2.1), we obtain the following result due to Szynal [8].

**Cor 2.5** For  $f \in S^*(\beta)$ , we have

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + 2\alpha} \max\left\{ 1, \frac{|4\mu(1 - \beta)(1 + 2\alpha) + 4(1 - \beta) - (1 + \alpha)(7 + \alpha - 6\beta)|}{(1 + \alpha)^2} \right\}.$$

If we put  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$  in theorem (2.1), we get the following result:

**Cor 2.6** If  $f \in M(\alpha; A, B; \delta)$ , then

(i) for  $\mu$  complex,

$$\left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta(A - B)}{2(1 + 2\alpha)}; |\lambda_3 - \mu| \leq \gamma_3 \\ \frac{\delta^2(A - B)^2}{(1 + \alpha)^2} |\lambda_3 - \mu|; |\lambda_3 - \mu| \geq \gamma_3 \end{cases}$$

(ii) for  $\mu$  real,



$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta^2 (A-B)^2}{(1+\alpha)^2} (\lambda_3 - \mu); \mu \leq \lambda_3 - \gamma_3 \\ \frac{\delta(A-B)}{2(1+2\alpha)}; \lambda_3 - \gamma_3 \leq \mu \leq \lambda_3 + \gamma_3 \\ \frac{\delta^2 (A-B)^2}{(1+\alpha)^2} (\mu - \lambda_3); \mu \geq \lambda_3 + \gamma_3 \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda_3 = \frac{\delta(A-B)[1+3\alpha] + (1+\alpha)^2 \left[ \frac{\delta(A-B)}{2} - \frac{(A+B)}{2} \right]}{2\delta(A-B)(1+2\alpha)}$  and  $\gamma_3 = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{2\delta(A-B)(1+2\alpha)}$ .

Putting  $n = 0$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$  in theorem (2.1), we have

**Cor 2.7** If  $f \in M(\alpha; A, B)$ , then

(i) for  $\mu$  complex,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(A-B)}{2(1+2\alpha)}; |\lambda_4 - \mu| \leq \gamma_4 \\ \frac{(A-B)^2}{(1+\alpha)^2} |\lambda_4 - \mu|; |\lambda_4 - \mu| \geq \gamma_4 \end{cases}$$

(ii) for  $\mu$  real,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(A-B)^2}{(1+\alpha)^2} (\lambda_4 - \mu); \mu \leq \lambda_4 - \gamma_4 \\ \frac{(A-B)}{2(1+2\alpha)}; \lambda_4 - \gamma_4 \leq \mu \leq \lambda_4 + \gamma_4 \\ \frac{(A-B)^2}{(1+\alpha)^2} (\mu - \lambda_4); \mu \geq \lambda_4 + \gamma_4 \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda_4 = \frac{(A-B)[1+3\alpha] - B(1+\alpha)^2}{2(A-B)(1+2\alpha)}$  and  $\gamma_4 = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{2(A-B)(1+2\alpha)}$ .

These results were due to Goel and Mehrook [2].

## References

- [1]. Goel,R.M.and Mehrok ,B.S. (1981) ,“On the coefficients of a subclass of starlike functions ,” *Ind. J. Pure. App. Math.* , 12(5) , 634-647 .
- [2]. Goel, R.M. and Mehrok ,Beant Singh (1991) , “ A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions ,” *Tamkang Journal Of Mathematics* , 22, 153-163
- [3]. Keogh , E.R. and Merkes, E.P. (1969) , “A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions ,” *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* 20, 8-12.
- [4]. Miller, S.S., Mocanu , P.T. and Reade, M.O. (1973) “ All  $\alpha$  - convex functions are univalent and Starlike,” *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* 37, 553-554.
- [5]. Mocanu , P.T. (1969), “ Une propriete' de convexite' généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme,” *Mathematica (CLUJ)* ,11(34), 127-133.
- [6]. Nehari , Z. (1952) , “ Conformal mapping,” *Mc.Graw Hill, New york* .
- [7]. Robertson , M.S. (1936) , “ On the theory of univalent functions,” *Ann. Math.* 37, 374-408.
- [8]. Szynal, J. (1972), “Some remarks on coefficient inequality for  $\alpha$  convex functions,” *Bull. De L'Acad. Des Sci Ser des Sci Math. Astr et phys.* Vol. XX, No. 11, 917-919.